



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,383	03/01/2002	Alok Khanna	005210.P001	3341

8791 7590 08/23/2005

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030

EXAMINER

SCHUBERT, KEVIN R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2137

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/087,383

Applicant(s)

KHANNA ET AL.

Examiner

Kevin Schubert

Art Unit

2137

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 March 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06072002; 09302002
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

PD

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

10 (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

15

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Inala, U.S. Patent No. 6,199,077.

20 As per claims 1,8, and 14, the discloses a computer-implemented method comprising the following limitations which are met by Inala:

a) receiving a request to login into an account on a web site, wherein the login is to include a traversal of a number of web pages (Col 5, lines 50-65; Fig 2);

b) retrieving instructions for logging into the web site (Col 5, lines 50-65; Fig 2);

25 c) retrieving authentication data for logging into the web site (Col 5, lines 50-65; Fig 2);

d) generating at least one integrated instruction based on the authentication data and the instructions for logging into the web site (Col 5, lines 50-65; Fig 2);

e) logging into the web site based on the at least one integrated instruction, independent of user interaction subsequent to the receipt of the request (Col 5, lines 50-65; Fig 2).

30

Art Unit: 2137

As per claims 2, 11, and 15, the applicant discloses the method of claims 1, 8, and 14, which are met by Inala, with the following limitation which is also met by Inala:

Wherein the instructions for logging into the web site are used for at least two different users (Col 5, lines 50-65; Fig 2).

5

As per claims 3, 13, and 16, the applicant discloses the method of claims 1, 8, and 14, which are met by Inala, with the following limitation which is also met by Inala:

Wherein the request to login to the web site is from a user and wherein the authentication data comprises a set of credentials associated with the user (Col 5, lines 50-65; Fig 2).

10

As per claims 4 and 17, the applicant discloses the method of claims 1 and 14, which are met by Inala, with the following limitation which is also met by Inala:

Wherein the request is received based on selection of a hyperlink that is displayed on a web page, the web page to display hyperlinks for a number of accounts across a number of different web sites (Col 5, lines 50-65; Fig 2).

15

As per claims 5-6, 9-10, and 18-19, the applicant discloses the computer-implemented method of claims 1, 8, and 14, which are met by Inala, with the following limitation which is also met by Inala:

Wherein the instructions are stored in an instruction set file associated with the web site, wherein the logging into the web site comprises transmitting the at least one integrated instruction in an order stored in the instruction set file until the login into the account is complete (Col 5, lines 50-65).

20

As per claims 7, 12, and 20, the applicant discloses the computer-implemented method of claims 1, 8, and 14, which are met by Inala, with the following limitation which is also met by Inala:

Wherein the generating of the at least one integrated instruction based on the authentication data comprises replacing, within the at least one integrated instruction, generic variable names associated with a set of credentials that comprises the authentication data for the account (Col 5, lines 50-65).

25

Art Unit: 2137

Conclusion

This action is made non-final.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should
5 be directed to Kevin Schubert whose telephone number is (571) 272-4239. The examiner can normally
be reached on M-F 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,
Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

10 Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from
either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through
Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should
you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)
15 at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KS

20


EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER